Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Ī	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/556,065	BANDOH ET AL.				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
	JOHN USELDING	1796				

	JOHN USELDING	1796			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 09 March 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.					
a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ar no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth interthan SIX MONTHS from the mailing by. ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE (b).	date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FI	n. .ED WITHIN TWO		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee area been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of a fine file. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL					
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on					
AMENDMENTS					
 ∑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) ∑ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for 					
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).					
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):					
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	it canceling the		
non-allowable claim(s).	7				
7. If or purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanat how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
The Arthur Grother Evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a		
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•			
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See attached.</u> 		condition for allowan	ce because:		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)				